

13th November 1922]

- (c) The Government are not in possession of the information. The hon. Member can probably obtain it by enquiry at any railway station.

*Recruitment to the Railway Service.*

434 Q.—MR. K. SARABHA REDDI: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state whether, with the increase in salaries, the railway staff men of higher educational qualifications have been drafted into the department?

A.—The Government have no information.

*Supervisors in the Education department.*

435 Q.—MR. K. SARABHA REDDI: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(1) the need for the abolition of the supervisors agency in the Education department; and

(2) why sub-assistant inspectors of schools with considerable service and proper departmental qualifications have not been confirmed?

A.—(1) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to G.O. No. 1002, Law (Education), dated the 29th August 1922, placed on the Editors' Table.

(2) Confirmations were kept in abeyance pending the reorganization of the inspecting agency.

*Public Works department in Kurnool.*

436 Q.—MR. K. SARABHA REDDI: Will the hon. the Law Member and the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state the total amount spent by the department of Public Works in Kurnool district in the year ending with March 1922 in—

- (i) improving irrigation facilities;
- (ii) constructing new buildings; and
- (iii) repairing old buildings?

A.—(i) Rs. 1,13,521 as detailed below:—

	RS.
Original works in charge of Public Works Department .. .. .	11,405
Repairs works in charge of Public Works Department .. .. .	76,624
Minor Irrigation works in charge of the Revenue Department .. .. .	25,492

(ii) Rs. 28,857.

(iii) Rs. 8,782.

*Grazing ground for Goilundi village, Berhampur taluk.*

437 Q.—SRIMAN SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that almost the whole of the grazing ground S. No. 579, about 60 acres in extent, of Goilundi village, Berhampur taluk, Ganjam district, is now occupied by railway buildings and buildings for the District Court, District Munsif's Court and quarters for the District Judge, Sub-Collector and the District Medical and Sanitary Officer; and

[13th November 1922]

(b) whether any exchange has been granted or any provision made elsewhere for the grazing of the cattle of the villagers of the said village?

A.—The Government have no information.

Mr. M. SURYANARAYANA :—“ Will the Government be pleased to call for the information ? ”

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir MUHAMMAD HABIB-UL-LAH SAHIB Bahadur :—“ I want notice of this question.”

*High school at Russellkonda.*

438 Q.—Sriman SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government meets the whole net cost of the upkeep and maintenance of the high school at Russellkonda (Ganjam), under the management of the district board;

(b) whether it is a fact that it was originally contemplated to run it as one of the model Government high schools; if so, to state what led to the abandonment of the proposal; and

(c) whether the Government will be pleased to lay on the table all papers connected with the establishment of and the grant of subsidy to the said high school?

A.—(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The board secondary school, Russellkonda, was not one of the institutions which the Government proposed to take under their management in the model high school scheme.

(c) It is presumed that the hon. Member refers to papers relating to the development of the school from an incomplete secondary into a complete secondary one and to the papers wherein the Government undertook to bear the net cost of the school. The connected papers are placed on the table.\*

*Transport for the Agency officers.*

439 Q.—Sriman SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(1) whether the Commissioner and the Assistant Commissioners of the Agency division are provided with elephants; if so, how many each;

(2) whether these officers are allowed to use Government elephants to carry their private luggage;

(3) if so, whether any sum is charged for such luggage and at what rate;

(4) whether the rate, if any, is adequate to meet the proportionate cost of the upkeep of these elephants;

(5) whether it is proposed to replace elephants by mule transport;

(6) what the approximate cost is of each kind of transport; and

(7) whether those officers who are provided with elephants are required to maintain horses?